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## Definition

$\mathrm{A} \mathbb{Z}^{d}-$ Cantor minimal system ( $\left.\mathbb{Z}^{d}-\mathbf{C} . m . s.\right)$ is a pair $(X, \mathbf{T})$ where $X$ is a Cantor space and $\mathbf{T}=\left\{\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{v}}: \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right\}$ is a minimal action of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ on $X$ by homeomorphisms.

In this situation, we can write $\mathbf{T}=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d}\right)$ where $T_{j}$ is shorthand for the action of standard basis vector $\mathbf{e}_{j}$.
If $d=2$ and $\mathbf{T}=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$, I might call $T_{1}$ the horizontal direction and $T_{2}$ the vertical direction of the action.

## Speedups of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-actions

## Definition

A cone $\mathbf{C}$ is the intersection of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}-\{\mathbf{0}\}$ with any open, connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ bounded by $d$ distinct hyperplanes passing through the origin.

Example in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ :


## Speedups of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-actions

## Definition

Let $(X, \mathbf{T})$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}$-C.m.s. and let $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}$ be a cone. A
$\mathbf{C}$-cocycle is a function $\mathbf{p}: X \times \mathbb{Z}^{d_{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}$ such that for all $x \in X$,
(1) $\mathbf{p}(x, \mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}$;
(2) The cocycle equation

$$
\mathbf{p}(x, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{w})=\mathbf{p}(x, \mathbf{v})+\mathbf{p}\left(\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{p}(x, \mathbf{v})}(x), \mathbf{w}\right)
$$

is satisfied for all $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d_{2}}$; and
(3) $\mathbf{p}\left(x, \mathbf{e}_{j}\right) \in \mathbf{C}$ for all $j \in\left\{1, \ldots, d_{2}\right\}$.

## Speedups of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-actions

## Definition

Let $(X, \mathbf{T})$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}$-C.m.s. and let $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}$ be a cone. A $\mathbf{C}$-speedup of $(X, \mathbf{T})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{d_{2}}-$ C.s. $(X, \mathbf{S})$ where

$$
\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{v}}(x)=\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{p}(x, \mathbf{v})}(x)
$$

for some C-cocycle $\mathbf{p}$.

## A picture to explain $(d=2)$



Here, $\mathbf{S}=\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ is a $\mathbf{C}-$ speedup of $\mathbf{T}=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$.
In particular, for the indicated point $x$, we have

$$
\mathbf{p}(x,(1,0))=(3,1), \quad \mathbf{p}(x,(1,1))=(5,3), \quad \text { etc. }
$$

## Why is this called a "speedup"?

When $d=1$, there are two cones:

$$
\mathbf{C}_{+}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\} \text { and } \mathbf{C}_{-}=\{-1,-2,-3, \ldots\}
$$

A $\mathbf{C}_{+}$-speedup looks like this:


Here " $p(x)$ " $=p(x, 1)=3, p(x, 2)=5$, etc.

The big picture

## Question

Given a $\mathbb{Z}^{\text {d}}$-C.m.s. $(X, \mathbf{T})$, how "similar" does a $\mathbf{C}$-speedup $(X, \mathbf{S})$ have to be to $(X, \mathbf{T})$ ?

## Restated

Given $(X, \mathbf{T})$ and $(Y, \mathbf{S})$, is there a $\mathbf{C}$-speedup of $(X, \mathbf{T})$ which is the "same" as $(Y, \mathbf{S})$ ?

## Notions of "sameness"

Let $(X, \mathbf{T})$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}$ C.m.s. and let $(Y, \mathbf{S})$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^{d_{2}}$-C.m.s.

## Definition

( $X, \mathbf{T}$ ) and ( $Y, \mathbf{S}$ ) are conjugate if $d_{1}=d_{2}$ and there is a homeomorphism $\Phi: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{v}}(\phi(x))=\Phi\left(\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{v}}(x)\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}$.
Concept: same action, but different labels on the phase space.

## Notions of "sameness"

Let $(X, \mathbf{T})$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}$-C.m.s. and let $(Y, \mathbf{S})$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^{d_{2}}$-C.m.s.

## Definition

$(X, \mathbf{T})$ and $(Y, \mathbf{S})$ are isomorphic if $d_{1}=d_{2}$, there is a homeomorphism $\Phi: X \rightarrow Y$ and a group isomorphism $\vartheta: \mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}$ such that

$$
\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{v}}(\Phi(x))=\Phi\left(\mathbf{T}^{\vartheta(\mathbf{v})}(x)\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}$.
Concept: same action, but different labels on the phase space and different labels on the group elements.

## Notions of "sameness"

Let $(X, \mathbf{T})$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}$-C.m.s. and let $(Y, \mathbf{S})$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^{d_{2}}$-C.m.s.

## Definition

$(X, \mathbf{T})$ and $(Y, \mathbf{S})$ are orbit equivalent if there is a homeomorphism $\Phi: X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $x \in X$,

$$
\Phi\left(\bigcup_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}} T^{\mathbf{v}}(x)\right)=\bigcup_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d_{2}}} \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{v}}(\Phi(x)) .
$$

Concept: same orbit relation.

## History of speedups: ergodic theory

Neveu (1969): characterized functions $p(x, 1)$ which can generate a speedup cocycle for a measure-preserving $\mathbb{Z}$-action
Arnoux-Ornstein-Weiss (1985): given any two ergodic Lebesgue measure-preserving $\mathbb{Z}$-actions $(X, \mu, T)$ and ( $Y, \nu, S$ ), there is a $\mathbf{C}_{+}$-speedup of one which is (measurably) conjugate to the other (ancestor: Dye's Theorem)
Babichev-Burton-Fieldsteel (2013): relative versions of Arnoux-Ornstein-Weiss (ancestor: Rudolph's relative orbit equivalence theory)
Johnson-M (2014, 2018): versions of AOW and BBF for measure-preserving $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-actions (ancestor: Connes-Feldman-Weiss's classification of hyperfinite equivalence relations)

## History of speedups: topological dynamics

Ash (2014): gave necessary and sufficient conditions on $\mathbb{Z}$-C.m.s. $(X, T)$ and $(Y, S)$ so that there is a $\mathbf{C}_{+}$-speedup of $(X, T)$ conjugate to $(Y, S)$ (ancestor: Giordano-Putnam-Skau's classification of $\mathbb{Z}$-C.m.s. up to orbit equivalence)
Johnson-M: generalized (most of) Ash's work to $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-C.m.s. (ancestor: Giordano-Matui-Putnam-Skau)
Alvin-Ash-Ormes (2018): studied the structure of bounded speedups of $\mathbb{Z}$-C.m.s., with particular emphasis on odometer actions and substitutions.

## Bounded speedups

## Definition

A speedup given by $\mathbf{C}$-cocycle $\mathbf{p}$ is called bounded if $\left\{\mathbf{p}\left(x, \mathbf{e}_{j}\right): x \in X\right\}$ is bounded for each $j \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$.

Note: A speedup is bounded if and only if $\mathbf{p}: X \times \mathbb{Z}^{d_{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}$ is continuous.

## In the rest of this talk

We will discuss bounded speedups of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers (with the aim of generalizing AAO).

## $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers

$\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers were introduced by Cortez in 2004 . They are defined as follows:

## The phase space

Let

$$
\mathbb{Z}^{d} \geq G_{1} \geq G_{2} \geq G_{3} \geq G_{4} \geq \cdots
$$

be a decreasing sequence of subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$, each of which have finite index in $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$, such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} G_{j}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$. Let $X$ be the inverse limit

$$
X=\lim _{\longleftarrow}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d} / G_{j}\right)
$$

## $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers

$\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers were introduced by Cortez in 2004 . They are defined as follows:

## The phase space

Each element $\mathbf{x}$ of $X$ is formally an infinite sequence of cosets, i.e. something like

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}+G_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}+G_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{3}+G_{3}, \ldots\right)
$$

where the $\mathbf{x}_{j}$ are "commensurate", i.e. since $G_{j} \geq G_{j+1}$, there is a natural map

$$
\pi_{j}: \mathbb{Z}^{d} / G_{j+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{d} / G_{j}
$$

for such a sequence to be in $X$ we require that, for all $j$,

$$
\pi_{j}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j+1}+G_{j+1}\right)=\mathbf{x}_{j}+G_{j}
$$

## $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers

$\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers were introduced by Cortez in 2004 . They are defined as follows:

## The action

$X$ is a Cantor space, and also a topological group with addition defined coordinate-wise, where the addition in the $j^{t h}$ coordinate is the usual (vector) addition in the quotient group $\mathbb{Z}^{d} / G_{j}$.

Given any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$, we can "convert" $\mathbf{v}$ into an element of $X$ by setting

$$
\tau(\mathbf{v})=\left(\mathbf{v}+G_{1}, \mathbf{v}+G_{2}, \mathbf{v}+G_{3}, \ldots\right)
$$

Define the action $\mathbf{T}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ on $X$ by $\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{x}+\tau(\mathbf{v})$. Any $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-C.m.s. conjugate to such an $(X, \mathbf{T})$ is called a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometer.

## $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers

As an example, the dyadic odometer comes from the sequence of groups $G_{j}=2^{j} \mathbb{Z}$, i.e.

$$
2 \mathbb{Z} \geq 4 \mathbb{Z} \geq 8 \mathbb{Z} \geq 16 \mathbb{Z} \geq \cdots \geq 2^{j} \mathbb{Z} \geq \cdots
$$

For $\mathbf{x}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{3}, \ldots\right) \in X$, the coset $\mathbf{x}_{j}$ labels the level to which $\mathbf{x}$ belongs at the $j^{\text {th }}$ stage when one does the traditional cutting and stacking construction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{rc}
\mathbf{x}= & \left(\mathbf{x}_{1},\right. \\
& \frac{1+2 \mathbb{Z}}{T^{\uparrow}}
\end{array} \\
& 0+2 \mathbb{Z} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\hline 3+4 \mathbb{Z} \\
T \uparrow \\
\hline 2+4 \mathbb{Z} \\
T_{T} \uparrow \\
\hline 1+4 \mathbb{Z} \\
T_{T} \uparrow
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \frac{7+8 \mathbb{Z}}{T_{\uparrow}} \\
& 0+4 \mathbb{Z} \\
& 0+8 \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $d>1, \mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers can be more complicated: as an example, consider the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-odometer given by

$$
G_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right)^{j} \mathbb{Z}^{2}
$$

After the first iteration of "cutting and stacking", we obtain this picture of how the action acts on the $\mathbf{x}_{1}$ coordinate:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{(0,1)+G_{1}}{T_{2} \uparrow} \xrightarrow{T_{1}} \underset{T_{2} \uparrow}{(1,1)+G_{1}} \\
(0,0)+G_{1} & \xrightarrow{T_{1}}(1,0)+G_{1}
\end{array}
$$

If $d>1, \mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers can be more complicated: as an example, consider the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-odometer given by

$$
G_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right)^{j} \mathbb{Z}^{2}
$$

After the first iteration of "cutting and stacking", we obtain this picture of how the action acts on the $\mathbf{x}_{1}$ coordinate:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{(0,1)+G_{1}}{T_{2} \uparrow} \xrightarrow{T_{1}} \frac{(1,1)+G_{1}}{T_{2} \uparrow} \\
(0,0)+G_{1} \\
\end{gathered}
$$

Here, there is "skewing" when $T_{2}$ sends cosets in the top row back to the bottom, since $(0,2) \equiv(1,0) \bmod G_{1}$.

## Bounded speedups of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers

Theorem (Alvin-Ash-Ormes 2018)
Let $(X, T)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-odometer, and suppose $(X, S)$ is a bounded $\mathrm{C}_{+}$-speedup of $(X, T)$. If $S$ is minimal, then $(X, S)$ is a Z-odometer.

## Bounded speedups of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers

## Theorem (Alvin-Ash-Ormes 2018)

Let $(X, T)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-odometer, and suppose $(X, S)$ is a bounded $\mathrm{C}_{+}$-speedup of $(X, T)$. If $S$ is minimal, then $(X, S)$ is a Z-odometer.

An identical result holds in higher dimensions:

## Theorem (Johnson-M)

Let $\mathbf{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}$ be any cone. Let $(X, \mathbf{T})$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^{d_{1}}$-odometer, and suppose $(X, \mathbf{S})$ is a bounded $\mathbf{C}$-speedup of $(X, \mathbf{T})$. If $\mathbf{S}$ is minimal, then $\mathbf{S}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{d_{2}}$-odometer.

## Bounded speedups of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers

## Theorem (Alvin-Ash-Ormes 2018)

Let $(X, T)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-odometer, and suppose $(X, S)$ is a bounded $\mathrm{C}_{+}$-speedup of $(X, T)$. If $S$ is minimal, then $(X, S)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-odometer which is topologically conjugate to $(X, T)$.

## Bounded speedups of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers

## Theorem (Alvin-Ash-Ormes 2018)

Let $(X, T)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-odometer, and suppose $(X, S)$ is a bounded $\mathbf{C}_{+}$-speedup of $(X, T)$. If $S$ is minimal, then $(X, S)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-odometer which is topologically conjugate to $(X, T)$.

This is too much to hope for in $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ when $d \geq 2$.
For instance, $\mathbf{S}=\left(T_{2}, T_{1}\right)$ is a speedup of $\mathbf{T}=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ via the cocycle $\mathbf{p}\left(x,\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right)=\left(v_{2}, v_{1}\right)$, but such an $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{T}$ are, in general, not conjugate.

But these $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{T}$ are isomorphic. Must a minimal bounded speedup of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometer $(X, \mathbf{T})$ be isomorphic to $(X, \mathbf{T})$ ?

## Bounded speedups of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers

## Theorem (Alvin-Ash-Ormes 2018)

Let $(X, T)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-odometer, and suppose $(X, S)$ is a bounded $\mathbf{C}_{+}$-speedup of $(X, T)$. If $S$ is minimal, then $(X, S)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-odometer which is topologically conjugate to $(X, T)$.

## Theorem (Johnson-M)

Let $\mathbf{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ be any cone containing $(1,0),(0,1)$ and $(1,1)$. Then, there exist $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-odometers $(X, \mathbf{T})$ and $(X, \mathbf{S})$ such that $(X, \mathbf{S})$ is a bounded $\mathbf{C}$-speedup of $(X, \mathbf{T})$, but $(X, \mathbf{S})$ and $(X, \mathbf{T})$ are not isomorphic.

## Example of a bounded, non-isomorphic speedup

Let $(X, \mathbf{T})$ be the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-odometer associated to the sequence of groups $3^{j} \mathbb{Z} \times 2^{j} \mathbb{Z}$ (i.e. the product-type $\times 3, \times 2$-odometer).
To define the speedup cocycle $\mathbf{p}$ :

- set $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x},(1,0))=(1,0)$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in X$;
- set

$$
\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x},(0,1))=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
(0,1) & \begin{array}{c}
\text { if } \mathbf{x}_{1} \equiv(0,0),(1,0) \text { or }(2,0) \\
\\
\\
\\
(1,1) \\
\text { if } \mathbf{x}_{1} \equiv(3 \mathbb{Z} \times 2 \mathbb{Z}) \\
\bmod (3 \mathbb{Z} \times 2 \mathbb{Z})
\end{array}
\end{array}\right.
$$

- extend $\mathbf{p}$ to a function on $X \times \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ using the cocycle equation. Let $(X, \mathbf{S})$ be the $\mathbf{C}$-speedup of $(X, \mathbf{T})$ given by $\mathbf{p}$.


## Example of a bounded, non-isomorphic speedup

The speedup $\mathbf{S}$ has skewing that wasn't present in $\mathbf{T}$ :

| $\left.(0,1)+G_{1}\right)$ | $\xrightarrow{T_{1}}(1,1)+G_{1}$ | $\xrightarrow{T_{1}}(2,1)+G_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{2}{ }^{\uparrow}$ | $\overline{T_{2} \uparrow}$ | $\overline{T_{2} \uparrow}$ |
| $(0,0)+G_{1}$ | $\xrightarrow{T_{1}}(1,0)+G_{1}$ | $\xrightarrow{T_{1}}(2,0)+G_{1}$ |
| $T_{2}{ }^{\uparrow}$ | $T_{2}{ }^{\wedge}$ | $T_{2}{ }^{\text {1 }}$ |
| $\left.(0,1)+G_{1}\right)$ | $\xrightarrow{T_{1}}(1,1)+G_{1}$ | $\xrightarrow{T_{1}}(2,1)+G_{1}$ |
| $T_{2}{ }^{\uparrow}$ | $T_{2} \uparrow$ | $T_{2} \uparrow$ |
| $(0,0)+G_{1}$ | $\xrightarrow{T_{1}}(1,0)+G_{1}$ | $\xrightarrow{T_{1}}(2,0)+G_{1}$ |

## Example of a bounded, non-isomorphic speedup

The speedup $\mathbf{S}$ has skewing that wasn't present in $\mathbf{T}$ :


## Example of a bounded, non-isomorphic speedup

To show $(X, \mathbf{T})$ and $(X, \mathbf{S})$ are not isomorphic, we use an alternate presentation of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers found by Giordano, Putnam and Skau.

Using Pontryagin duality, they found that a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometer $(X, \mathbf{T})$ can be specified by a single subgroup $H(X, \mathbf{T})$ of $\mathbb{Q}^{d}$.

This group is related to the group cohomology of the action; in fact $H(X, \mathbf{T}) \cong H^{1}(X, \mathbf{T})$, where $H^{1}(X, \mathbf{T})$ is the first cohomology group of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ with coefficients in the module $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

## Example of a bounded, non-isomorphic speedup

To show $(X, \mathbf{T})$ and $(X, \mathbf{S})$ are not isomorphic, we use an alternate presentation of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometers found by Giordano, Putnam and Skau.

Using Pontryagin duality, they found that a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometer $(X, \mathbf{T})$ can be specified by a single subgroup $H(X, \mathbf{T})$ of $\mathbb{Q}^{d}$.

Furthermore, for a $\mathbb{Z}$-odometer $(X, T), H(X, T)$ is isomorphic to the dimension group $D(X, T)$ associated to the odometer.

## Example of a bounded, non-isomorphic speedup

In our example, we can compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(X, \mathbf{T})=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{3}\right] \times \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \\
& H(X, \mathbf{S})=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: x \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{3}\right], y-\frac{1}{2} x \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These groups are isomorphic (which reflects the fact that $(X, \mathbf{T})$ and ( $X, \mathbf{S}$ ) are continuously orbit equivalent).

But they aren't isomorphic in a good enough way...

## Example of a bounded, non-isomorphic speedup

In our example, we can compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(X, \mathbf{T})=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{3}\right] \times \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \\
& H(X, \mathbf{S})=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: x \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{3}\right], y-\frac{1}{2} x \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the odometers $(X, \mathbf{T})$ and $(X, \mathbf{S})$ were isomorphic, then by a theorem of Giordano, Putnam and Skau there would exist a matrix $A \in G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $A H(X, \mathbf{T})=H(X, \mathbf{S})$.

But no such $A$ exists (elementary linear algebra argument).

## Speedups and orbit equivalence

While the $(X, \mathbf{S})$ and $(X, \mathbf{T})$ in the preceding example are not isomorphic, they are orbit equivalent. This holds in general:

## Theorem (Johnson-M)

Let $\mathbf{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ be any cone. If $(X, \mathbf{S})$ is a bounded $\mathbf{C}$-speedup of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-odometer $(X, \mathbf{T})$, then $(X, \mathbf{S})$ and $(X, \mathbf{T})$ are orbit equivalent.

When $d=1$, this implies AAO, because orbit equivalent $\mathbb{Z}$-odometers are automatically conjugate (follows from Boyle-Tomiyama).

