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## Universal models

We say ( $X, T$ ) (where $X$ is some set and $T$ is some action on that set) is a universal model for a class of dynamical systems if every dynamical system in that class can be conjugated to $(X, T)$.

The type of conjugacy one asks for depends on the context.

Example for discrete actions: the shift

Theorem (Sinai) Every discrete m.p. system ( $X, \mathcal{F}, \mu, T$ ) has a countable generating partition.

Consequence: There exists one space ( $\Omega^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ) and one action on that space (the shift $\sigma$ ) such that every discrete m.p. system is measurably conjugate to $\left(\Omega^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma\right)$.

We say $\left(\Omega^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma\right)$ is a universal model for discrete systems.

Another way to say this is that m.p. systems "are" shift-invariant probability measures on $\Omega^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

## An improvement on Ambrose-Kakutani

> Theorem (Rudolph 1976) The return-time function in the Ambrose-Kakutani picture can be chosen to take only two values 1 and $\alpha$ where $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Consequence: m.p. flows are determined by

- a number $c \in(0,1)$ and
- a discrete transformation (i.e. a shift-invariant measure on $\Omega^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ).


## "Globally fixing" the path-space model

Recall: Given $x \in X$, the idea was to start with

$$
f_{x}=\int_{0}^{t} T_{s}(x) d s
$$

add "gaps" to $f_{x}$ at each $t \in I D I(x)$ to obtain a new function $\psi_{x}$ which is left-continuous, increasing function passing through the origin:

## Distinguishing pairs

Pick refining, generating sequence of finite clopen partitions of $X_{1}^{\mathbb{Q}^{+}}$.
Suppose $x \in X$ and $t_{0} \in I D I(x)$.
$j_{c_{1}, c_{2}}(x)=t$ for many pairs ( $c_{1}, c_{2}$ ). Choose the coarsest partition $\mathcal{P}_{k}$ (smallest $k$ ) that "sees" the orbit discontinuity. In that partition, pick the collections ( $c_{1}, c_{2}$ ) so that $x \in J\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ and $j_{c_{1}, c_{2}}(x)=t_{0}$. This pair $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ is called the distinguishing pair for the IDI.

## How much gap to add?

Let $\beta_{1}$ be an injection of the set of pairs ( $c_{1}, c_{2}$ ) into $\mathbb{N}$.

For $t_{0} \in I D I(x)$, let $\beta\left(x, t_{0}\right)=\beta_{1}$ (distinguishing pair for $x$ 's IDI at time $t$ ).

For fixed $x, \beta$ maps $\operatorname{IDI}(x)$ into $\mathbb{N}$ injectively.

Now add this much gap to $f$ at time $t_{0}$ :

$$
2^{-\beta\left(x, t_{0}\right)}\left(T_{t_{0}}(x)+2\right)
$$

(Recall $X \subset[0,1]$ measurably)

This adds a finite total amount of gap to $f$. (The total gap added is at most 3.)

Why "+2"?

## Why "+2"?

If one does the constructions described on the previous slide globally (for every $x, t_{0}$ with $t_{0} \in$ $I D I(x)$ ), we get a mapping $x \mapsto \psi_{x}$ where $\psi_{x}$ is left-cts, increasing, and passes through the origin.

$$
\text { Is } x \mapsto \psi_{x} 1-1 ?
$$

Suppose $\psi_{x}=\psi_{y}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{t}(x) & =\left(\psi_{x}\right)^{\prime}(t)=\left(\psi_{y}\right)^{\prime}(t)=T_{t}(y) \text { a.s.- } t \\
\text { so } T_{t}(x) & =T_{t}(y) \text { for all } t>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $x=y$ we are done. Otherwise, $x$ and $y$ belong to $\operatorname{IDI}\left(T_{t}\right)$.

## Why "+2"? (continued)

Then $\psi_{x}=\psi_{y}$ implies the gap added at time $t_{0}=0$ to each function is the same, i.e.

$$
2^{-\beta(x, 0)}\left(T_{0}(x)+2\right)=2^{-\beta(y, 0)}\left(T_{0}(y)+2\right) .
$$

Rewrite this to obtain

$$
2^{\beta(y, 0)-\beta(x, 0)}=\frac{y+2}{x+2}
$$

The left hand side is an integer power of 2 ; the right-hand side cannot be any integer power of 2 other than $2^{0}=1$ since both the numerator and denominator lie in $[2,3]$. Thus $x=y$ and $x \mapsto \psi_{x}$ is injective.

## Things are not quite right yet

We have a 1-1 well-defined mapping $x \mapsto \psi_{x}$ but we have a problem:

$$
\psi_{T_{t}(x)} \neq \Sigma_{t}\left(\psi_{x}\right)
$$

This is because $\beta\left(x, t_{0}\right) \neq \beta\left(T_{t}(x), t_{0}-t\right)$.

Fortunately, we can fix this.

Take a cross-section $F_{0}$ for the semiflow (not any cross-section but one with some nice properties) and "measure all $\beta$ with respect to the cross-section".

That is, if $t_{0} \in I D I(x)$, find where $x$ last hits $F_{0}$ between time 0 and time $t_{0}$ (say at $T_{s}(x)$ ) and use $\beta\left(T_{s}(x), t_{0}-s\right)$ instead of $\beta(x, t)$.

## The end result

The actual amount of gap added to $f_{x}$ at time $t_{0}$ is

$$
2^{-\beta\left(T_{s}(x), t_{0}-s\right)}\left(T_{t}(x)+2\right)
$$

where $T_{s}(x) \in F_{0}$ and $T_{\left(s, t_{0}\right]}(x) \cap F_{0}=\emptyset$.
Theorem (M) There exists a Polish space $Y$ of left-continuous, increasing functions from $\mathbb{R}^{+}$to $\mathbb{R}^{+}$passing through the origin such that given any Borel semiflow ( $X, \mathcal{F}, \mu, T_{t}$ ), there exists a Borel injection $\Psi: X \rightarrow Y$ with

$$
\Psi \circ T_{t}=\Sigma_{t} \circ \psi \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

This induces a measurable conjugacy

$$
\left(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu, T_{t}\right) \xrightarrow{\Psi}\left(Y, \mathcal{B}(Y), \Psi(\mu), \Sigma_{t}\right)
$$

